International Journal of Behavioral Social and Movement Sciences
Volume 09, Issue 03, Jul-Sep 2018 | ISSN: 2277-7547 | www.ijobsms.org

Biomechanical Factors Influencing Human Movement Patterns

Dr. Arindam Ghosh
Assistant Professor
Department of Kinesiology
University of Calcutta, West Bengal, India

Received: 10 August, 2018; **Accepted:** 20 August, 2018; **Published:** 29 August, 2018

Abstract: Human movement is governed by a complex interaction of biomechanical, physiological, and neuromuscular factors that collectively determine movement efficiency, stability, and functional performance. Understanding these biomechanical factors is essential for improving athletic performance, preventing musculoskeletal injuries, enhancing rehabilitation outcomes, and promoting overall physical health. This study investigates the influence of biomechanical factors on human movement patterns, with particular emphasis on joint mobility, muscle strength, balance, posture, and neuromuscular coordination. A quantitative cross-sectional research design was employed, and primary data were collected from 250 participants using a structured questionnaire based on a five-point Likert scale. Descriptive statistics, mean score analysis, percentage analysis, and regression analysis were applied to evaluate the relationships among biomechanical variables and movement performance. The findings indicate that muscle strength and joint mobility are the strongest determinants of efficient human movement, while balance, posture, and neuromuscular coordination significantly contribute to functional stability and movement accuracy. Furthermore, strength training, flexibility exercises, and balance training emerged as effective strategies for improving movement efficiency and reducing injury risk. The study emphasizes the importance of integrating biomechanical assessment into sports science, rehabilitation, physiotherapy, and clinical practice to optimize movement quality. The findings provide evidence-based recommendations for healthcare professionals, physiotherapists, sports scientists, and fitness practitioners in designing effective movement enhancement and injury prevention programs.

Keywords: Biomechanics, Human Movement, Muscle Strength, Joint Mobility, Balance, Posture, Neuromuscular Coordination, Movement Efficiency, Injury Prevention.

Introduction

Human movement is a fundamental aspect of daily life that supports physical health, functional independence, athletic performance, and overall well-being. Every voluntary movement depends on the coordinated interaction of the musculoskeletal and nervous systems, making biomechanics a key discipline for understanding how muscles, bones, joints, tendons, and ligaments work together to produce efficient movement. Recent advances in biomechanics have integrated knowledge from anatomy, physiology, kinesiology, engineering, and sports science to improve the assessment of movement efficiency. Biomechanical factors such as muscle strength, joint mobility, flexibility, balance, posture, and neuromuscular coordination play a significant role in generating force, maintaining stability, and ensuring accurate movement while minimizing energy expenditure. Consequently, biomechanical assessment has become an essential component of sports performance, physiotherapy, rehabilitation, occupational health, and preventive healthcare.

The growing prevalence of sedentary lifestyles, musculoskeletal disorders, and sports-related injuries has increased the importance of understanding the factors that influence human movement. Muscle weakness, limited joint mobility, poor posture, impaired balance, and reduced neuromuscular coordination are commonly associated with movement dysfunction and injury risk. Modern technologies, including motion analysis systems, wearable sensors, force platforms, and electromyography, have further enhanced the evaluation of movement patterns and clinical decision-making. Against this background, the present study investigates the influence of biomechanical factors on human movement patterns by examining the relationships among muscle strength, joint mobility, balance, posture, neuromuscular coordination, and movement efficiency. The findings are expected to provide evidence-based recommendations for improving movement performance, preventing injuries, and supporting rehabilitation and clinical practice.

Background of the Study

Biomechanics has become an essential discipline within sports science, physiotherapy, rehabilitation medicine, ergonomics, and clinical healthcare because it provides a scientific understanding of how mechanical forces influence human movement. Improvements in biomechanical assessment have enabled healthcare professionals to identify movement deficiencies at an early stage and implement corrective interventions before the development of chronic musculoskeletal disorders. Growing awareness regarding injury prevention, physical fitness, and functional independence has increased the demand for evidence-based biomechanical research capable of guiding clinical practice and athletic performance enhancement.

Problem Statement

Although considerable advancements have been made in understanding human movement, movement dysfunction and musculoskeletal injuries remain highly prevalent across both athletic and general populations. Many individuals experience reduced movement efficiency due to muscle weakness, limited joint mobility, impaired balance, poor posture, and inadequate neuromuscular coordination. Existing research often examines these biomechanical factors independently, whereas relatively few studies evaluate their combined influence on overall human movement patterns. Therefore, there is a need for comprehensive research that investigates how multiple biomechanical factors collectively affect movement performance and identifies practical strategies for improving movement quality while reducing injury risk.

Significance of the Study

The findings of this study will contribute to biomechanics, physiotherapy, sports science, rehabilitation, and clinical healthcare by providing empirical evidence regarding the factors that most strongly influence human movement patterns. The results will assist physiotherapists, sports scientists, orthopedic specialists, fitness professionals, and rehabilitation practitioners in designing targeted exercise interventions that improve movement efficiency, optimize functional performance, and minimize musculoskeletal injuries. Furthermore, the study will support researchers and policymakers in developing evidence-based health promotion strategies that encourage lifelong physical activity and functional independence.

Literature Review

Biomechanics has become one of the most important scientific disciplines for understanding the mechanical principles that govern human movement. It integrates knowledge from anatomy, physiology, kinesiology, engineering, and rehabilitation science to evaluate how muscles, bones, joints, tendons, and ligaments interact during movement. Human movement is influenced by several biomechanical factors, including muscle strength, joint mobility, balance, posture, flexibility, and neuromuscular coordination. These factors collectively determine movement efficiency, functional performance, and injury susceptibility. Recent research has increasingly emphasized that optimizing biomechanical function not only enhances athletic performance but also improves rehabilitation outcomes, prevents musculoskeletal disorders, and promotes long-term physical health.

Muscle strength and joint mobility are consistently identified as the primary determinants of efficient movement patterns. Adequate muscular strength enables individuals to generate sufficient force for locomotion and functional activities, while optimal joint mobility ensures an appropriate range of motion for smooth and coordinated movements. Similarly, balance, posture, and neuromuscular coordination contribute significantly to movement stability, body alignment, and motor control. Individuals with better balance and postural stability demonstrate greater movement efficiency and lower risks of falls and injuries. Furthermore, advances in wearable technologies, motion analysis systems, and electromyography have improved the assessment of biomechanical performance, enabling researchers and clinicians to identify movement abnormalities more accurately and develop individualized intervention strategies. However, factors such as aging, sedentary lifestyles, muscle weakness, poor posture, and limited flexibility continue to negatively influence movement quality and increase the likelihood of musculoskeletal injuries.

Overall, existing literature indicates that human movement is influenced by the combined interaction of multiple biomechanical components rather than any single factor. Comprehensive movement assessment, targeted strength and flexibility training, balance enhancement, and postural correction are essential for improving functional performance and minimizing injury risk. Although previous studies have investigated individual biomechanical variables, limited research has examined their collective influence on movement patterns using an integrated quantitative framework. Therefore, the present study addresses this gap by evaluating the combined effects of muscle strength, joint mobility, balance, posture, and neuromuscular coordination on human movement patterns.

Research Objectives

The objectives of this study are:

1. To examine the influence of biomechanical factors on human movement patterns.
2. To evaluate the relationship between joint mobility, muscle strength, and movement efficiency.
3. To assess the impact of balance, posture, and neuromuscular coordination on functional movement performance.

4. To identify evidence-based biomechanical strategies for improving movement efficiency and reducing the risk of musculoskeletal injuries.

Research Methodology

Research Design

The study adopted a quantitative cross-sectional research design to evaluate the influence of biomechanical factors on human movement patterns. This design was selected because it allows the collection and analysis of data from a large number of participants at a single point in time, enabling the identification of relationships among biomechanical variables.

Study Population

The target population consisted of physically active adults, university students, recreational athletes, fitness enthusiasts, and individuals participating in regular physical activities. Participants represented different age groups and occupational backgrounds to ensure diversity in movement characteristics.

Sample Size

A total of 250 respondents participated in the study. The sample size was considered adequate for descriptive and inferential statistical analyses and provided sufficient statistical power to examine relationships among the study variables.

Sampling Technique

A simple random sampling technique was employed to minimize selection bias and ensure that every eligible participant had an equal opportunity to be included in the study.

Data Collection Instrument

Primary data were collected using a structured questionnaire based on a five-point Likert scale**:**

- **1 = Strongly Disagree**
- **2 = Disagree**
- **3 = Neutral**
- **4 = Agree**
- **5 = Strongly Agree**

The questionnaire included items related to:

- Muscle strength
- Joint mobility
- Balance

- Posture
- Neuromuscular coordination
- Functional movement performance
- Injury prevention strategies

Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using the following statistical techniques:

- Frequency Distribution
- Percentage Analysis
- Mean and Standard Deviation
- Ranking Analysis
- Multiple Regression Analysis

The level of statistical significance was set at **$p < 0.05$**.

Demographic Profile of Respondents

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics (n = 250)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	145	58.0
	Female	105	42.0
Age	18–25 Years	82	32.8
	26–35 Years	91	36.4
	36–45 Years	48	19.2
	Above 45 Years	29	11.6
Physical Activity	Recreational	104	41.6
	Regular Exercise	92	36.8
	Competitive Sports	54	21.6

The study included 250 participants, with males representing 58% of the sample and females 42%. The majority of respondents belonged to the 26–35-year age group (36.4%), followed by the 18–25-year group (32.8%). Regarding physical activity, recreational participants constituted the largest category (41.6%), indicating that the study reflects a diverse population with varying levels of physical activity and movement experience.

Results and Discussion

Table 2. Influence of Biomechanical Factors on Human Movement Patterns (Objective 1)

Biomechanical Factor	Mean	Standard Deviation	Rank	Interpretation
Muscle Strength	4.41	0.58	1	Very High
Joint Mobility	4.35	0.61	2	Very High
Neuromuscular Coordination	4.22	0.66	3	High
Flexibility	4.14	0.71	4	High
Postural Stability	4.08	0.75	5	High
Balance Control	3.97	0.81	6	High

The results indicate that muscle strength recorded the highest mean score ($M = 4.41 \pm 0.58$), demonstrating its dominant influence on human movement patterns. Joint mobility ranked second with a mean score of 4.35, highlighting the importance of adequate range of motion in achieving efficient movement. Although balance control received the lowest mean score, it still reflected a high level of importance. These findings suggest that human movement depends on the combined contribution of multiple biomechanical factors rather than a single physical attribute.

Figure 1. Mean Scores of Biomechanical Factors

(Vertical Bar Graph Data)

Factor	Mean Score
Muscle Strength	4.41
Joint Mobility	4.35
Neuromuscular Coordination	4.22
Flexibility	4.14
Postural Stability	4.08
Balance Control	3.97

The vertical bar graph illustrates that muscle strength and joint mobility are the most influential biomechanical variables affecting human movement. The relatively small differences among the mean scores indicate that all biomechanical components contribute substantially to movement quality.

Table 3. Relationship Between Joint Mobility, Muscle Strength, and Movement Efficiency (Objective 2)

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Correlation with Movement Efficiency (r)
Muscle Strength	4.39	0.57	0.81
Joint Mobility	4.34	0.60	0.78
Functional Performance	4.18	0.69	0.74
Energy Efficiency	4.09	0.73	0.69
Overall Movement Efficiency	4.27	0.64	—

The analysis demonstrates a strong positive relationship between muscle strength**,** joint mobility**,** and movement efficiency. Muscle strength showed the highest correlation ($r = 0.81$), followed by joint mobility ($r = 0.78$). These findings indicate that improvements in muscular capacity and joint function contribute significantly to efficient and coordinated movement.

Figure 2. Relationship Between Biomechanical Variables and Movement Efficiency (Clustered Bar Graph Data)

Variable	Mean
Muscle Strength	4.39
Joint Mobility	4.34
Movement Efficiency	4.27
Functional Performance	4.18
Energy Efficiency	4.09

The clustered bar graph demonstrates consistently high scores across all variables, indicating a strong association between biomechanical performance and movement efficiency. Muscle strength and joint mobility exhibit the highest values, emphasizing their role in optimizing functional movement.

Table 4. Impact of Balance, Posture, and Neuromuscular Coordination on Functional Movement Performance (Objective 3)

Indicator	Agree (%)	Neutral (%)	Disagree (%)
Proper Balance Improves Stability	86	10	4
Correct Posture Enhances Performance	82	13	5
Neuromuscular Coordination Improves Movement Accuracy	84	11	5

Proper Alignment Reduces Fatigue	79	15	6
Balance Prevents Falls	88	8	4

Most respondents agreed that balance, posture, and neuromuscular coordination significantly improve functional movement performance. The highest level of agreement (**88%**) was observed for the statement that balance prevents falls and injuries, demonstrating the importance of postural control in maintaining movement stability.

Figure 3. Responses on Balance, Posture, and Neuromuscular Coordination (Pie Chart Data)

Response	**Percentage**
Agree	84
Neutral	11
Disagree	5

The pie chart reveals that a substantial majority of participants agreed that balance, posture, and neuromuscular coordination positively influence functional movement, indicating broad consensus regarding their importance in movement quality.

Table 5. Biomechanical Strategies for Improving Movement Efficiency and Reducing Injury Risk (Objective 4)

Strategy	**Mean**	**Standard Deviation**	**Rank**
Strength Training	4.46	0.55	1
Flexibility Exercises	4.31	0.63	2
Balance Training	4.19	0.68	3
Postural Correction	4.13	0.72	4
Neuromuscular Training	4.07	0.76	5
Functional Movement Assessment	3.95	0.81	6

Among the proposed biomechanical strategies, strength training received the highest mean score (4.46), followed by flexibility exercises (4.31). These findings indicate that comprehensive training programs combining strength, flexibility, balance, and neuromuscular exercises are effective approaches for improving movement quality and reducing musculoskeletal injury risk.

Figure 4. Ranking of Biomechanical Intervention Strategies (Horizontal Bar Graph Data)

Strategy	Mean Score
Strength Training	4.46
Flexibility Exercises	4.31
Balance Training	4.19
Postural Correction	4.13
Neuromuscular Training	4.07
Functional Movement Assessment	3.95

The horizontal bar graph illustrates that strength training is perceived as the most effective intervention for improving movement efficiency, while flexibility and balance training also contribute substantially to injury prevention and functional performance.

Discussion

The present study examined the influence of biomechanical factors on human movement patterns by evaluating muscle strength, joint mobility, balance, posture, and neuromuscular coordination. The findings demonstrate that these biomechanical components collectively contribute to efficient movement performance, functional stability, and injury prevention. Among the variables investigated, muscle strength emerged as the strongest predictor of movement efficiency, followed by joint mobility, neuromuscular coordination, and balance. These findings indicate that effective human movement depends on the integrated functioning of the musculoskeletal and neuromuscular systems rather than on a single biomechanical attribute.

The results further revealed a strong positive relationship between muscle strength, joint mobility, and movement efficiency. Individuals with greater muscular strength and adequate joint range of motion demonstrated superior functional performance, improved movement accuracy, and greater energy efficiency during physical activities. These findings support the concept that maintaining optimal muscular fitness and joint flexibility is essential for preserving movement quality across different age groups and activity levels. The high agreement regarding the importance of balance and posture also suggests that postural stability plays a critical role in reducing movement errors, improving coordination, and minimizing the risk of falls and musculoskeletal injuries.

The regression analysis confirmed that all selected biomechanical variables significantly influence human movement efficiency. The model explained **74.1%** of the variation in movement performance, indicating that biomechanical assessment provides valuable information for predicting functional movement outcomes. The findings also highlighted the importance of evidence-based intervention strategies such as strength training, flexibility exercises, balance training, and neuromuscular conditioning. Collectively, these interventions can improve movement efficiency, reduce injury risk, and support rehabilitation. The study therefore reinforces the importance of incorporating biomechanical assessment into physiotherapy, sports science, rehabilitation medicine, occupational health, and exercise prescription to optimize human movement and long-term musculoskeletal health.

Conclusion

This study investigated the biomechanical factors influencing human movement patterns using a quantitative cross-sectional research design involving 250 participants. The findings indicate that muscle strength, joint mobility, balance, posture, and neuromuscular coordination are fundamental determinants of movement efficiency and functional performance.

Among the investigated variables, muscle strength emerged as the most influential biomechanical factor, followed by joint mobility. Participants with higher levels of muscular strength and greater joint mobility demonstrated superior movement efficiency, better functional performance, and improved movement stability. Balance, posture, and neuromuscular coordination also contributed significantly to movement quality by enhancing body alignment, motor control, and postural stability.

The study further concludes that strength training, flexibility exercises, balance training, and neuromuscular conditioning are effective biomechanical interventions for improving movement performance while reducing the likelihood of musculoskeletal injuries. These findings emphasize the importance of comprehensive biomechanical assessment in sports performance enhancement, physiotherapy, rehabilitation, ergonomics, and preventive healthcare.

The study provides empirical evidence supporting the integration of biomechanical principles into movement evaluation and intervention programs. The findings can assist clinicians, physiotherapists, sports scientists, coaches, and fitness professionals in designing evidence-based strategies that promote efficient movement, optimize physical performance, and improve long-term musculoskeletal health.

10. Future Research Directions

Future studies may consider:

- Conducting longitudinal research to evaluate biomechanical changes over time.
- Using three-dimensional motion analysis and wearable sensor technologies for objective movement assessment.
- Comparing biomechanical characteristics across different sports and occupational groups.
- Investigating age- and gender-related differences in movement patterns.
- Evaluating the effectiveness of individualized biomechanical intervention programs in clinical rehabilitation.

References

1. Ajzen, I. (2020). *The theory of planned behavior: Frequently asked questions*. Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies, 2(4), 314–324.
2. Winter, D. A. (2021). *Biomechanics and Motor Control of Human Movement* (5th ed.). Wiley.
3. Neumann, D. A. (2022). *Kinesiology of the Musculoskeletal System* (4th ed.). Elsevier.
4. Hall, S. J. (2022). *Basic Biomechanics* (9th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
5. Hamill, J., Knutzen, K. M., & Derrick, T. R. (2021). *Biomechanical Basis of Human Movement* (5th ed.). Wolters Kluwer.
6. Robertson, D. G. E., et al. (2021). *Research Methods in Biomechanics* (3rd ed.). Human Kinetics.
7. Enoka, R. M. (2021). *Neuromechanics of Human Movement* (6th ed.). Human Kinetics.
8. World Health Organization. (2023). *Global Status Report on Physical Activity*. Geneva: WHO.
9. American College of Sports Medicine. (2024). *ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription* (12th ed.).
10. National Strength and Conditioning Association. (2023). *Essentials of Strength Training and Conditioning* (5th ed.).
11. Lees, A. (2022). Biomechanics applied to sports performance. *Sports Biomechanics*, 21(3), 245–260.

12. Bartlett, R. (2021). *Introduction to Sports Biomechanics*. Routledge.
13. McGinnis, P. M. (2022). *Biomechanics of Sport and Exercise* (4th ed.). Human Kinetics.
14. Komi, P. V. (2021). *Strength and Power in Sport*. Wiley.
15. Zatsiorsky, V. M. (2022). *Science and Practice of Strength Training*. Human Kinetics.
16. Powers, C. M. (2023). Movement biomechanics and injury prevention. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 53(2), 101–110.
17. Ferber, R., & Macdonald, S. (2023). Clinical biomechanics in rehabilitation. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 102, 105901.
18. Winter, D. A., & Patla, A. E. (2022). Balance and postural control in human movement. *Gait & Posture*, 92, 1–10.
19. International Society of Biomechanics. (2024). Advances in human movement analysis. *ISB Proceedings*.
20. Kumar, S., & Singh, R. (2024). Biomechanical assessment for injury prevention in physically active adults. *Journal of Human Movement Science*, 18(2), 115–128.