SOMATOTYPE OF INDIAN FEMALE HAMMER THROWERS OF

DIFFERENT PERFORMANCE LEVELS

¹Dr. Sukhraj Singh ²Dr. N.S. Deol ³S. S. Kang

¹Assistant Professor, Punjabi University TPD Malwa College, Rampura Phul- Mehraj, Punjab, India
 ²Head, Department of Physical Education, Punjabi University Patiala, India
 ³Junior Scientific Officer Department of Sports Anthropometry, SAI-NSNIS, Patiala, India
 ^{1,2&3} sukhrajsingh9776@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The present study have been conducted on female hammer throwers (n=30) of India, categorized under five different performance level Groups. Ten anthropometric measurements like height, body weight, two bony diameters, girths and skinfolds were taken with standard instruments and standard techniques of Ross et al, 1980. Somatotypes were computed by using equations of Carter, 1980. Results reveals that mean age (years) of present study Hammer throwers was ranging from 18 to 22 years and shown significant F-ratio (3.21*) at 5% level. Tallest and Heaviest female hammer throwers were reported in Group III (Height: 165± 1.87) & Body weight: 74± 6.11) and shortest Height in Group V (163.7± 4.52) and Weight in Group-I (58.37± 12.6) respectively. On applying Anova, the f ratio value was found non significant difference in height, height weight ratio among all five groups. Height weight ratio was ranging from 42.83 to 39.73 and has non significant F-ratio among all five groups. In somatotype, Endomorphy was recorded maximum Group-II (5.77) and minimum in Group-V (3.69), Mesomorphy was investigated maximum in Group-II (4.37) and minimum in Group-I (2.51), Ectomorphy (leanness of body) was reported maximum in Group-I (2.20) and minimum in Group-II (0.77) all three components, of five hammer throwing groups have shown not significant F-ratio among each other. From this study, it was concluded that top performer groups have shown low endomorphy and more mesomorphy components and tall with respect to low performance groups.

Key Words: Endomorphy, Mesomorphy, Ectomorphy and Hammer throwers.

INTRODUCTION:

Many Scientist has conducted Somatotype studies on various sports populations of National and International level (Tanner 1964; Sodhi and Sidhu,(1984), de Garry et.al.(1974),Carter et.al., (1984) & (1990). As Carter (1970) considered that the morphological characteristics of athletes were of interest of the human biologist, for competitive sport demand the utmost from the body and it is



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BEHAVIORAL SOCIAL AND MOVEMENT SCIENCES ISSN: 2277-7547 WWW.IJOBSMS.IN

therefore, responsible to expect to find in athletes a demonstration of the relationship of structure and function. The correct game and event chosen is very important for highest performance which is decided by the positive and negative points of the body for particular sport. Parnell (1951) in an anthropometrical study of athletes concluded that an individual's choice of athletic events might largely be due to characteristics, probably inborn. The main aim of present study will be to help for selecting female hammer Throwers at early ages and for making guideline and counseling about the body Morphology.

MATERIAL & METHODS:

The present Anthropometric data have been taken on Indian female hammer throwers (N=30) from 15^{th} September 2007 to 30^{th} December 2007 during the course of various coaching camps; they were attending in connection with the national and international competitions. Ten anthropometric measurements like height, body weight, two bony diameters, two girths and four skinfolds were taken with standard instruments and standard techniques (*Ross et. al, 1980*). Somatotypes were computed by using equations of Carter, 1980. Appropriate statistic is used to analyze the data. The performance in hammer throws of the subjects ranged between 30m and 55m for female. The subjects were divided into five groups based on throwing performance as given below in table-1.

Sample Size of Indian Female hammer throwers of different performance levels							
S. No		Performance based Groups	Sample Size				
1		Group-1 (30-35mts)	6				
2	10,	Group-2 (35-40mts)	6				
3	C	Group-3 (40-45mts)	5				
4	7	Group-4 (45-50mts)	5				
5		Group-5 (50-55mts)	8				
$\langle \rangle$		Total	30				

Table 1

RESULT AND DISCUSSION:

Mean age (years) was recorded minimum in Group-1 (18.33yrs) followed by Group-II, Group-III, Group-IV and maximum in Group-V (21.75yrs).



	1	-						
S.	Anthropometric	Category	Group-I	Group-II	Group-III	Group-IV	Group-V	ANOVA
No	Variables							(f-value)
1.		Ν	6	6	5	5	8	
2.	Age (Years)	Mean	18.33	19.67	21.00	21.40	21.75	3.21*
3.		SD	0.52	2.25	1.23	1.52	2.92	
4.	Height (cm)	Mean	164.78	164.33	165.0	164.72	163.7	NS
5.		SD	3.02	1.66	1.87	2.26	4.52	
6.	Weight (Kg)	Mean	58.38	71.67	74.0	67.8	71.19	NS
7.		SD	12.6	10.63	6.11	12.5	6.99	
8.	Ht. Wt. ratio	Mean	42.83	39.73	10.97	40.65	40.14	NS
9.		SD	2.83	1.94	1.01	2.51	2.20	

 Table 2

 Anthropometric Parameters of Indian Female Hammer Throwers.

*Significant at 5% level (2.53), ** Significant at 1% level (3.65)

By applying Anova, F-value was found significant (3.21*) at 5% level as shown in Table-2. The Post hoc t-values for age of female were observed significant at 1% level between Group I and Group IV & Group I and group V and at 5% level between group I and III as shown in table-3.

Table 3

	Group I	Group II	Group III	Group IV	Group V		
Group I	0	1.17	2.23*	2.56**	3.20**		
Group II		0	1.12	1.45	1.95		
Group III	Ċ		0	0.32	0.67		
Group IV				0	0.31		
Group V					0		

Post Hoc 't' Test For Women Hammer Throwers Age (Years).

* Significant at 5% level (1.96), **Significant at 1% level (2.33)

Mean body Height of Group-V (163.7 cm) was found shortest among all groups followed by Group-II, IV, I and Group-III (tallest 165.0 cm). For body height, no significant f-value was observed among all five groups of female hammer throwers as shown in Table-2.

Maximum weight was examined in group III (74 Kg) followed by group-II, Group-V, Group-IV and minimum in Group-I (58.38Kg). On applying Anova, F-value was found non significant among all five groups.



Maximum Height weight ratio was found in Group I (42.83) followed by group-III, Group IV, Group V and lower in Group-II (39.73). There was found non significant value among all five groups of female hammer throwers as shown in Table-2.

Somatotype of indian remain findwers.								
S.No	Somatotype		Group-1	Group-2	Group-3	Group-4	Group-5	ANOVA
								(f-value)
1.		N	6	6	5	5	8	
2.	Endomorphy	Mean	4.17	5.77	4.88	4.35	3.69	3.96**
3.		SD	1.48	1.09	0.74	1.10	0.80	
4.	Mesomorphy	Mean	2.51	4.37	3.14	2.77	3.19	NS
5.		SD	2.21	2.31	1.28	2.12	1.89	
6.	Ectomorphy	Mean	2.20	0.77	1.34	1.19	0.95	NS
7.		SD	1.31	0.90	0.47	1.16	1.02	

Table 4Somatotype of Indian female Hammer Throwers.

*Significant at 5% level (2.53), ** Significant at 1% level (3.65)

Maximum Endomorphic (more fat) was found in group II (5.77) followed by group-III, Group IV, Group-I and minimum in Group-V (3.69). On applying ANOVA, F- ratio among five Groups, Endomorphic was found significant at 1% level. The Post hoc, t-values for Endomorphic was observed significant at 1% level between Group I and Group II, Group II and Group V and significant at 5% level between Group II and Group IV, Group III and Group V as shown in table-5.

T obt not t tot values for women's Endomorphic value.							
Women	Group I	Group II	Group III	Group IV	Group V		
Group I	0	2.70**	1.13	0.28	0.91		
Group II		0	1.44	2.29*	3.79**		
Group III	(0)		0	0.81	2.06*		
Group IV	2			0	1.60		
Group V	1				0		

Table-5 Post hoc't' test values For Women's Endomorphic Value.

*Significant at 5% level (1.96), **Significant at 1% level (2.33),

Higher Mesomorphic value was found in group II (4.37) followed by group-V, Group III, Group IV and lower in Group-I (2.51). Higher Ectomorphic value was found in group I (2.20) followed by group-IV, Group III, Group V and lower in Group-II (0.77). on applying Anova, the f- values were found non-significant for mesomoprhy and ectomorphy among all five female hammer groups as shown in table-4.



CONCLUSION:

a. Age (years) was found increases from low performer group (I) to high performer group (V) and had shown significant differences among all five groups,

b. Maximum body heights and body weights was reported in group-III throwers and minimum in group-V & group-I throwers respectively.

c. Top performer throwers (Group-V) were found less endomorphic (less fatty) ,more mesomorphic (good muscular-skletal development) as compared with low performer thowers (group-I).

References:

Carter, J.E.L.(1980) The Heath Carter Somatotype Method. SDSU Syllabus Service, San Diego

- Carter, J. E. L. (1970). The somatotype of athletes. A review. Human Biology: 42.535.
- Heath, B.H. and Carter, J.E.L. (1967) A modified Somatotype method. American Journal of Physical Anthropology2, 57-74.
- de Garay, A.L. Levine, L. and Carter, J.E.L. (1974) Genetic and anthropological studies of Olympic Athletes, Academic Press, New York. CF.
- Parnell, R.W. (1951). Some notes on physique and athletic training wit special reference to heart size. Brit. Med. J.,1:1292
- Ross, W.D. Drinkwater, D. T., Bailey, A., Marshal, G. R. and Lehay, R. (1980) Kinanthropometry: Traditions and New perspectives. In Beunen, Simons. Kinanthropometry II. Pp 3-27, University Park Press, Baltimore.
- Sidhu, L.S. Singh, J. and Singh, S.P. (1990) Physique and Body Composition of different categories of Runners, PP. 95-102.
- Tanner, J.M. (1964) The Physique of the Olympic Athlete, George Allen and Unwin, London. Weiner, J.S. and Lourie, J.A. (1969) Human Biology: A guide to field methods. IBP no. 9, blackbell, London.

