

# Impact of Group Exercise Programs on Social Cohesion and Individual Motivation

**Dr. Anand B. Lunkad**

Assistant Professor

Department of Physical Education and Sports

KES Pratibha College of Commerce and

Computer Studies, Pune, Maharashtra, India

---

## **Abstract**

*Group exercise programs have emerged as a key strategy for enhancing both physical health and social well-being. This study examines the impact of group-based fitness interventions on social cohesion, motivation, and individual adherence to exercise routines among adults aged 18–45 years. A total of 400 participants enrolled in various group fitness programs—including yoga, aerobics, and CrossFit—across three urban fitness centers were evaluated over 12 weeks. Using the Exercise Motivation Inventory (EMI-2) and the Group Environment Questionnaire (GEQ), data were collected on motivation, group belonging, and exercise consistency. Results demonstrated that individuals participating in structured group exercise settings exhibited significantly higher intrinsic motivation, stronger social bonds, and greater adherence rates compared to those engaged in solitary workouts. These findings highlight the psychological and social benefits of collective physical activity, suggesting that group exercise initiatives can play a critical role in fostering community well-being and sustained participation in fitness routines.*

**Keywords:** Group exercise; Social cohesion; Motivation; Physical activity; Behavioral health; Fitness adherence; Social support; Intrinsic motivation; Well-being; Community engagement.

## **Introduction**

In the contemporary fitness landscape, the concept of exercising in groups has gained increasing popularity due to its combined physical and psychosocial advantages. Beyond improving muscular strength, cardiovascular health, and flexibility, group exercise fosters a shared sense of belonging that enhances both social and psychological well-being. Social interactions during exercise sessions create an environment conducive to teamwork, accountability, and positive reinforcement.

Motivation is a critical determinant of long-term adherence to physical activity. According to Self-Determination Theory (SDT), intrinsic motivation—driven by enjoyment, competence, and relatedness—is fundamental to sustainable behavior. Group settings amplify intrinsic motivation through peer encouragement and collective goals. Moreover, they mitigate the sense of isolation often associated with individual exercise routines, helping participants overcome motivational plateaus.

This research investigates the link between group exercise participation, social cohesion, and individual motivation. It aims to provide evidence-based insights for fitness trainers, public health policymakers, and community wellness initiatives seeking to promote holistic health outcomes through collaborative physical engagement.

## **Methodology**

### **Study Design**

A comparative mixed-methods design was employed to assess differences between group and individual exercisers. The study combined quantitative measures (motivation and cohesion scores) with qualitative interviews.

### **Sample Population**

- **Total Participants:** 400 adults
- **Age Range:** 18–45 years
- **Gender Distribution:** 210 females, 190 males

- **Duration:** 12 weeks
- **Locations:** Three metropolitan fitness centers in India

### **Instruments Used**

1. **Exercise Motivation Inventory-2 (EMI-2):** Measures intrinsic and extrinsic motivational factors.
2. **Group Environment Questionnaire (GEQ):** Assesses perceptions of social bonding, group unity, and task cohesion.
3. **Attendance Logs:** Used to monitor exercise adherence rates.

### **Procedure**

Participants were divided into two groups:

- **Group A (Group Exercise):** Engaged in instructor-led sessions (aerobics, dance, yoga, or CrossFit).
- **Group B (Individual Exercise):** Performed independent gym workouts.

Pre- and post-program surveys were administered, and adherence rates were recorded weekly. Qualitative interviews explored perceived benefits and challenges.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using *SPSS v27* for descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and paired t-tests. Significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

### **Case Study**

#### **Case 1: Aerobics Group (Urban Fitness Hub)**

The aerobics group, comprising 60 members, demonstrated strong interpersonal connections and frequent off-session interactions. Participants reported higher energy levels and motivation, attributing their consistency to the supportive social environment.

#### **Case 2: Yoga Group (Wellness Studio)**

Among yoga practitioners, group meditation and synchronized breathing improved mindfulness and stress regulation. Their motivation was primarily

intrinsic, driven by the communal serenity and shared purpose of holistic well-being.

### Case 3: CrossFit Team (Athletic Center)

CrossFit participants exhibited high competitiveness and teamwork. Their motivation stemmed from shared physical challenges and collective achievements, which enhanced both commitment and perceived competence.

### Data Analysis

**Table 1: Comparison of Motivation Scores (Pre- and Post-Intervention)**

Group Type	Pre-Test Motivation Score	Post-Test Motivation Score	% Improvement	p-value
Group Exercise	68.4 ± 7.2	83.5 ± 6.8	+22.1%	<0.001
Individual Exercise	67.9 ± 6.9	73.8 ± 7.1	+8.7%	<0.05

**Interpretation:** Group participants exhibited a statistically significant improvement in motivation compared to individual exercisers.

**Table 2: Social Cohesion and Exercise Adherence Rates**

Variable	Group Exercise (n=200)	Individual Exercise (n=200)	Mean Difference	p-value
Social Cohesion (GEQ)	85.6 ± 5.4	62.8 ± 6.7	+22.8	<0.001
Exercise Adherence (%)	91.2	74.5	+16.7	<0.001
Perceived Enjoyment	88.9 ± 4.9	70.2 ± 7.3	+18.7	<0.001

**Result:** Group settings strongly enhance social belonging and adherence rates.

## **Questionnaire**

### **Section 1: Demographic Data**

1. Age, gender, and academic/professional background
2. Duration of physical activity experience

### **Section 2: Exercise Behavior**

1. Frequency and duration of weekly exercise
2. Preferred workout type (group vs individual)
3. Self-rated enjoyment and satisfaction

### **Section 3: Motivation Assessment (EMI-2)**

1. What drives your participation (health, fun, appearance, socializing)?
2. How often do you feel motivated to attend your sessions?
3. Rate your satisfaction with group interaction (1–5).

### **Section 4: Social Cohesion (GEQ)**

1. How connected do you feel with your exercise group?
2. Do teammates influence your consistency?
3. Does group participation enhance your sense of accomplishment?

## **Conclusion**

This study establishes that group exercise programs significantly enhance both social cohesion and individual motivation. Participants in collective sessions demonstrated greater consistency, enjoyment, and psychological well-being compared to those exercising alone. The findings reinforce that social interaction serves as a powerful motivator, transforming fitness from an individual pursuit into a shared community experience.

Health organizations and fitness institutions should integrate structured group exercise models—such as team-based challenges, social accountability networks, and communal events—to improve adherence rates and holistic wellness outcomes. The synergy of physical, social, and emotional engagement

makes group exercise a sustainable approach for promoting long-term health behavior change.

## References

1. Carron, A. V., & Brawley, L. R. (2000). Cohesion: Conceptual and measurement issues. *Small Group Research*, 31(1), 89–106.
2. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268.
3. Dishman, R. K., et al. (2005). Determinants of physical activity participation among adults. *Public Health Reports*, 120, 39–45.
4. Dunlop, W. L., & Beauchamp, M. R. (2013). Self-determination and group cohesion in exercise settings. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 14(3), 411–418.
5. Eime, R. M., et al. (2015). The psychological and social benefits of group physical activity. *BMC Public Health*, 15, 131.
6. Estabrooks, P. A., & Carron, A. V. (1999). The influence of group cohesion on adherence in exercise classes. *Journal of Sports and Exercise Psychology*, 21(4), 287–300.
7. Shehadeh, A.; Alshboul, O.; Al Mamlook, R. E.; Hamedat, O. Machine Learning Models for Predicting the Residual Value of Heavy Construction Equipment: An Evaluation of Modified Decision Tree, LightGBM, and XGBoost Regression. *Autom Constr* 2021, 129, 103827. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103827>.
8. Lightstone, J. P.; Chen, L.; Kim, C.; Batra, R.; Ramprasad, R. Refractive Index Prediction Models for Polymers Using Machine Learning. *J Appl Phys* 2020, 127 (21). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0008026>.
9. J. Catalán, C. Díaz, F. García-Blanco, Characterization of binary solvent mixtures: the water–acetonitrile mixture, *Org Biomol Chem* 1 (2003) 575–580. <https://doi.org/10.1039/b210405a>.

- 10.M.L. Damstrup, J. Abildskov, S. Kiil, A.D. Jensen, F. V. Sparsø, X. Xu, Evaluation of Binary Solvent Mixtures for Efficient Monoacylglycerol Production by Continuous Enzymatic Glycerolysis, *J Agric Food Chem* 54 (2006) 7113–7119. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf061365r>.
- 11.D. Shukla, S. Singh, S. Parveen, M. Gupta, J.P. Shukla, Acoustical Properties of Binary Mixtures of Heptane with Ethyl Acetate or Butyl Acetate, *Chin J Chem* 28 (2010) 371–377. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cjoc.201090081>.
- 12.M. Ue, Mobility and Ionic Association of Lithium and Quaternary Ammonium Salts in Propylene Carbonate and  $\gamma$ -Butyrolactone, *J Electrochem Soc* 141 (1994) 3336–3342. <https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2059336>.
- 13.Q. Hu, G. Fan, L. Yang, X. Cao, P. Zhang, B. Wang, F. Li, A gas-phase coupling process for simultaneous production of  $\gamma$ -butyrolactone and furfuryl alcohol without external hydrogen over bifunctional base-metal heterogeneous catalysts, *Green Chemistry* 18 (2016) 2317–2322. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5GC02924D>.
- 14.F.F. Bamoharram, M.M. Heravi, M. Roshani, A. Gharib, M. Jahangir, A catalytic method for synthesis of  $\gamma$ -butyrolactone,  $\epsilon$ -caprolactone and 2-cumaranone in the presence of Preyssler's anion,  $[\text{NaP5W30O110}]^{14-}$ , as a green and reusable catalyst, *J Mol Catal A Chem* 252 (2006) 90–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2006.01.067>.
- 15.M.M. Heravi, M. Ghavidel, L. Mohammadkhani, Beyond a solvent: triple roles of dimethylformamide in organic chemistry, *RSC Adv* 8 (2018) 27832–27862. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C8RA04985H>.
- 16.X. Chen, H. Zhang, M. Zhang, Y. Zou, S. Zhang, Y. Qu, Temperature-responsive dissolution/recrystallization of Zn MOF enables the maximum efficiency and recyclability of catalysts, *Chemical Communications* 56 (2020) 1960–1963. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CC09342G>.

- 17.R. Narducci, Ionic Conductive Membranes for Fuel Cells, Membranes (Basel) 11 (2021) 159. <https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes11030159>.
- 18.S. Singh, M. Aznar, N. Deenadayalu, Densities, speeds of sound, and refractive indices for binary mixtures of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium methyl sulphate ionic liquid with alcohols at T=(298.15, 303.15, 308.15, and 313.15)K, J Chem Thermodyn 57 (2013) 238–247.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jct.2012.08.030>.
- 19.J.N. Nayak, M.I. Aralaguppi, T.M. Aminabhavi, Density, Viscosity, Refractive Index, and Speed of Sound in the Binary Mixtures of 1,4-Dioxane + Ethyl Acetoacetate, + Diethyl Oxalate, + Diethyl Phthalate, or + Dioctyl Phthalate at 298.15, 303.15, and 308.15 K, J Chem Eng Data 48 (2003) 1489–1494.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/je0301489>.
- 21.S. Papović, M. Bešter-Rogač, M. Vraneš, S. Gadžurić, The effect of the alkyl chain length on physicochemical features of (ionic liquids + $\gamma$ -butyrolactone) binary mixtures, J Chem Thermodyn 99 (2016) 1–10.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jct.2016.03.034>.
- 22.J. Krakowiak, M. Śmiechowski, Excess molar volume and viscosity deviation for binary mixtures of  $\gamma$ -butyrolactone with dimethyl sulfoxide, J Chem Thermodyn 110 (2017) 57–64.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jct.2017.02.009>.
- 23.Y. Wei, X. Guan, S. Feng, Q. Zhang, D. Fang, X. Zhang, Insights into the volumetric properties, conductive property, and interaction behaviors of two nitrile functionalized ionic liquids mixture systems with  $\gamma$ -butyrolactone, J Mol Liq 364 (2022) 120052. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2022.120052>.
- 24.K. 'Murali K.P. 'Sathyanarayana, B. 'Satyanarayana, N. 'Amara Jyothi, A Study On Densities And Ultrasound Velocities In the ( $\gamma$ -Butyrolactone + Aliphatic Ester) System At Temperatures (303.15 To 313.15) K,

- International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) 1 (2012) 1–10.
- 25.S. Papović, S. Gadžurić, A. Tot, M. Bešter-Rogač, K. Pavlović, M. Vraneš, A comparative study on the interactions of [bmim][NTf<sub>2</sub>] ionic liquid with selected four- to seven-membered-ring lactones, *J Chem Thermodyn* 107 (2017) 170–181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jct.2016.12.032>.
- 26.A.M. Farhan, A.M. Awwad, Relative Permittivities, Refractive Indices, and Densities of Dihydrofuran-2(3 H)-one + Butan-1-ol and + Butan-2-ol at T = (293.15, 298.15, 303.15, and 313.15) K, *J Chem Eng Data* 55 (2010) 1035–1038. <https://doi.org/10.1021/je900503p>.
- 27.C.M. Bishop, N.M. Nasrabadi, *Pattern recognition and machine learning*, Springer, 2006.
- 28.J.E. Gubernatis, T. Lookman, Machine learning in materials design and discovery: Examples from the present and suggestions for the future, *Phys Rev Mater* 2 (2018) 120301. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.2.120301>.
- 29.J. Vamathevan, D. Clark, P. Czodrowski, I. Dunham, E. Ferran, G. Lee, B. Li, A. Madabhushi, P. Shah, M. Spitzer, S. Zhao, Applications of machine learning in drug discovery and development, *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 18 (2019) 463–477. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-019-0024-5>.
- 30.I. Baskin, A. Epshtein, Y. Ein-Eli, Benchmarking machine learning methods for modeling physical properties of ionic liquids, *J Mol Liq* 351 (2022) 118616. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2022.118616>.
- 31.C. Bilodeau, A. Kazakov, S. Mukhopadhyay, J. Emerson, T. Kalantar, C. Muzny, K. Jensen, Machine learning for predicting the viscosity of binary liquid mixtures, *Chemical Engineering Journal* 464 (2023) 142454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.142454>.

- 32.A. Sharma, L. Li, A. Garg, B. seop Lee, Probe into the volumetric properties of binary mixtures: Essence of regression-based machine learning algorithms, *J Mol Liq* 399 (2024) 124498.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2024.124498>.
- 33.X. Liu, J. Gao, Y. Chen, Y. Fu, Y. Lei, Machine learning-assisted modeling study on the density and heat capacity of ionic liquid-organic solvent binary systems, *J Mol Liq* 390 (2023) 122972.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2023.122972>.
- 34.A. Prabhune, A. Mathur, S. Saha, R. Dey, Predictive, correlative and machine learning models for estimation of viscosity of liquid mixtures, *J Mol Liq* 397 (2024) 124147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2024.124147>.
- 35.Y. Pan, F. Yang, H. Zhang, Y. Yan, X. Ping, M. Yu, A. Yang, New QSPR models for predicting critical temperature of binary organic mixtures using linear and nonlinear methods, *Fluid Phase Equilib* 575 (2023) 113916.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2023.113916>.
- 36.Mahra, Mr Anil Kumar. "FINANCIAL LITERACY AND PATTERN OF SAVINGS, INVESTMENT BEHAVIOR OF WOMEN TEACHING FACULTIES IN SAGAR REGION. AN EMPIRICAL ASSESSMENT."
- 37.Mahra, Anil Kumar. "THE ROLE OF GENDER IN ONLINE SHOPPING-A."
- 38.Mahra, Anil Kumar. "A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW ON RISK MANAGEMENT FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY." (2019).
- 39.Dwivedi, Shyam Mohan, and Anil Kumar Mahra. "Development of quality model for management education in Madhya Pradesh with special reference to Jabalpur district." *Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies* 1.4 (2013): 204-208.
- 40.Mahra, Anil Kumar. "Management Information Technology: Managing the Organisation in Digital Era." *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology* 4238.29 (2005): 6.