KINESIOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS FOR ENHANCING MOTOR SKILLS IN CHILDREN WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DELAYS: A COMPARATIVE APPROACH

Dr. Anand B. Lunkad

Assistant Professor, KES Pratibha College of Commerce and Computer Studies, Pune, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

Developmental delays in children significantly hinder their ability to perform age-appropriate motor activities, thereby affecting overall growth, academic performance, and social integration. This study explores the effectiveness of kinesiological interventions—structured movement therapies aimed at improving gross and fine motor skills—in children aged 5–10 years diagnosed with developmental delays. A comparative approach was adopted involving two intervention groups: one receiving traditional physical therapy, and another receiving specialized kinesiological intervention programs over 12 weeks. Using pre- and post-assessment tools like the Peabody Developmental Motor Scales (PDMS-2) and Movement Assessment Battery for Children (MABC-2), marked improvements were recorded in motor coordination, balance, and manual dexterity among the kinesiology group. The study provides theoretical grounding in motor learning and neuroplasticity, emphasizing the long-term benefits of movement-based therapies. A real-world case study is also presented to demonstrate the impact of individualized kinesiological protocols. Findings suggest that such interventions offer a cost-effective, engaging, and holistic alternative to conventional therapy for children with motor delays.

Double Blind Peer-Reviewed Refereed Indexed On-Line International Journal

RESEARCH JOURNAL SINCE: 2012 PEER REVIEWED ISSN: 2277-7547 BEHAVIORAL SOCIAL & MOVEMENT SCIENCE

THE UNIVERSITY ACADEMICS www.theuniversityacademics.com Research & Academic Publishing

www.ijobsms.org under the Publication aegis of SWARNP3 Pvt.Ltd.

Keywords: Kinesiology, Motor Skills, Developmental Delays, Pediatric Therapy, Movement Interventions, Gross Motor Function, Fine Motor Coordination, Neuroplasticity, Children with Special Needs, Physical Rehabilitation

INTRODUCTION

Motor development is foundational to a child's physical, cognitive, and emotional well-being. Children with developmental delays often struggle with fundamental motor tasks such as walking, grasping, jumping, or maintaining posture, which hampers their ability to interact with peers, perform academic tasks, and achieve independence. While traditional therapies such as physiotherapy or occupational therapy remain effective, the emerging field of kinesiology offers a more dynamic and integrated approach by combining anatomy, biomechanics, and motor control. Kinesiological interventions use goal-oriented, task-specific exercises designed to stimulate neuro-muscular pathways, promote postural control, and enhance motor planning. Particularly in children, whose brains are still highly plastic, these interventions can lead to significant and long-lasting improvements. Despite their potential, such interventions remain underutilized in pediatric rehabilitation, especially in resource-constrained settings. This research examines and compares the outcomes of conventional physical therapy with targeted kinesiological programs to determine which approach yields more substantial improvements in motor functions among children with diagnosed developmental delays. The paper also addresses the importance of early identification, individualized therapy, and parent involvement in maximizing therapy outcomes.

METHODOLOGY

This study used a comparative quasi-experimental design with two matched groups of children diagnosed with developmental delays. The total sample size was 60 children, aged 5–10 years, recruited from three pediatric therapy centers. Group A (n = 30) received conventional physical therapy focused on passive stretching and mobility drills. Group B (n = 30) received kinesiological intervention, including structured balance training, locomotor coordination tasks, and resistance-based fine motor exercises. Participants were matched on the basis of age, type of developmental delay (e.g., cerebral palsy, global delay, Down syndrome), and baseline motor assessment scores. Ethical clearance was obtained, and parental consent was secured for all participants. Each group underwent intervention for 45 minutes, 4 times a week, over 12 weeks. Motor skill improvement was measured using standardized tools: Peabody Developmental Motor Scales (PDMS-2), Movement Assessment Battery for Children (MABC-2), and observational checklists maintained by therapists. Data collection was completed over a 4-month period, and results were analyzed using paired t-tests and ANOVA to compare pre- and post-intervention outcomes.

Data Analysis (Theoretical)

The theoretical basis of this analysis is drawn from Dynamic Systems Theory, Motor Learning Theory, and Neuroplasticity. According to Dynamic Systems Theory, motor development results from the complex interaction between the nervous system, body mechanics, and environmental factors. Kinesiological exercises, unlike traditional therapies, offer real-time feedback and adaptive tasks that allow children to self-organize movement solutions. Motor Learning Theory supports the idea that repetition with variation fosters the

Double Blind Peer-Reviewed Refereed Indexed On-Line International Journal

RESEARCH JOURNAL SINCE: 2012 PEER REVIEWED ISSN: 2277-7547 BEHAVIORAL SOCIAL & MOVEMENT SCIENCE

THE UNIVERSITY ACADEMICS www.theuniversityacademics.com Research & Academic Publishing

www.ijobsms.org under the Publication aegis of SWARNP3 Pvt.Ltd.

refinement of motor patterns. Group B (kinesiological intervention) demonstrated greater progress in balance and fine motor manipulation, likely due to goal-oriented movements and the opportunity for motor planning. Neuroplasticity principles explain why younger children respond more rapidly to movement-based therapy; enriched physical environments promote dendritic branching and synaptic strengthening. Theoretical interpretation of the data confirms that kinesiological interventions address both motor output and sensorimotor integration, crucial for sustained skill retention. The results also suggest that active, engaging tasks facilitate motivation and cognitive-emotional growth, reinforcing the broader benefits of kinesiology beyond movement correction.

QUESTIONNAIRE WITH TABLES

Sample Questions Used:

1. Can your child walk independently across a room?
2. Does your child maintain balance while standing on one foot for 5 seconds?
3. Can your child catch a medium-sized ball with two hands?
4. Is your child able to use scissors or pencils effectively?
5. How often does your child trip or stumble when walking?
6. Is your child able to dress or undress with minimal assistance?
7. Does your child show interest in movement-based play (e.g., hopping, running)?
8. Can your child copy basic hand movements shown by adults?
9. Has your child shown improvement in motor coordination after therapy?
10. Does your child enjoy physical activities or avoid them?

Table 1: Comparison of Motor Skill Scores Pre- and Post-Intervention

Assessment Tool	Group A (Traditional PT) Pre	Group A Post	Group B (Kinesiology) Pre	Group B Post
PDMS-2 (Gross Motor)	35.2	44.5	34.9	52.7
MABC-2 (Fine Motor)	29.4	36.8	29.7	48.3

Explanation:

While both groups improved over the 12-week intervention, the kinesiology group (Group B) showed significantly higher gains in both gross and fine motor domains. This supports the hypothesis that movement-based, interactive interventions are more effective in stimulating developmental progress.

Table 2: Parent Observations on Behavioral and Functional Changes

Observation Item	Group A (%) Positive Response	Group B (%) Positive Response
Improved Balance	58%	82%
Increased Confidence in Movement	49%	77%
Improved Handwriting or Drawing	32%	61%
Reduced Fear of Physical	44%	73%

Activity		

Explanation:

Parental observations corroborated the quantitative findings. Parents in Group B consistently reported higher satisfaction, noting visible improvements in confidence and participation in daily physical tasks. This reflects the emotional and psychological benefits of structured movement therapy.

Case Study

Case: Aarav, Age 6, with Global Developmental Delay

Aarav was enrolled in a 3-month kinesiological therapy plan at a child development center in Mumbai. Before therapy, Aarav was unable to hop on one leg, had poor hand-grip strength, and showed minimal interest in physical play. After a tailored intervention involving rhythmic movement, crawling sequences, balance beam training, and obstacle navigation, Aarav displayed remarkable improvement. His PDMS-2 gross motor score rose from 33 to 51. Therapists observed better bilateral coordination, and his teachers noted improved classroom participation. Parents reported that Aarav was now eager to play outside and could button his shirt independently—an activity he avoided previously. The case study highlights how an individualized, goal-oriented movement plan rooted in kinesiology principles can create meaningful and lasting improvements in a child's motor and social life.

Conclusion

This comparative study strongly supports the efficacy of kinesiological interventions over traditional physical therapy in enhancing motor skills among children with developmental delays. Structured, interactive, and engaging movement-based protocols not only improve

Double Blind Peer-Reviewed Refereed Indexed On-Line International Journal

RESEARCH JOURNAL SINCE: 2012 PEER REVIEWED ISSN: 2277-7547 BEHAVIORAL SOCIAL & MOVEMENT SCIENCE

THE UNIVERSITY ACADEMICS www.theuniversityacademics.com Research & Academic Publishing

www.ijobsms.org under the Publication aegis of SWARNP3 Pvt.Ltd.

balance, coordination, and fine motor control but also foster confidence, independence, and social participation. Grounded in motor learning and neuroplasticity, kinesiology presents a holistic rehabilitation model that goes beyond physical correction to include cognitive and emotional development. Pediatric therapists and special educators are encouraged to integrate these methods into early intervention programs. Future research should explore long-term follow-ups, the effect of combining kinesiology with sensory integration, and technology-assisted movement therapies. With increasing developmental challenges among children today, movement must be seen not just as an outcome but as a primary pathway to healing and growth.

References

1. Burton, A. W., & Miller, D. E. (1998). *Movement skill assessment*. Human Kinetics.
2. Darrah, J., Wessel, J., Nearingburg, P., & O'Connor, M. (1999). Evaluation of a community-based exercise program for children with motor delays. *Pediatric Physical Therapy*, 11(1), 18–23.
3. Hadders-Algra, M. (2005). Development of postural control: a review of the literature. *Neural Plasticity*, 12(2-3), 99–106.
4. Shumway-Cook, A., & Woollacott, M. H. (2007). *Motor control: Translating research into clinical practice*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
5. Ziviani, J., Poulsen, A., & Rodger, S. (2013). *Occupational therapy with children: Understanding children's occupations and enabling participation*. Wiley-Blackwell.
6. Kambas, A., Michalopoulou, M., Fatouros, I., Christoforidis, C., Manthou, E., & Taxildaris, K. (2012). The effects of kinesiology-based movement therapy on gross motor

Double Blind Peer-Reviewed Refereed Indexed On-Line International Journal

www.ijobsms.org under the Publication aegis of SWARNP3 Pvt.Ltd.

function in children with developmental coordination disorder. *Journal of Child Neurology*, 27(5), 626–631.

7. Peabody Developmental Motor Scales, 2nd Edition (PDMS-2). (2000). Pro-Ed.
8. Henderson, S. E., Sugden, D. A., & Barnett, A. L. (2007). *Movement Assessment Battery for Children* (2nd ed.). Pearson Assessment.
9. Schmidt, R. A., & Lee, T. D. (2011). *Motor control and learning: A behavioral emphasis*. Human Kinetics.
10. Thomas, M., & French, K. (1985). The relationship of motor skill proficiency to self-concept and self-esteem in children. *Journal of Human Movement Studies*, 11(1), 17–27.